1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney 2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division 3 MATTHEW A. PARRELLA (NYSBN 2040855) 4 JEFFREY D. NEDROW (CASBN 161299) JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) 5 Assistant United States Attorneys 6 7 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7232 8 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 14 15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal No. CR 07-0732 SI 16 Plaintiff, 17 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME 18 v. 19 BARRY LAMAR BONDS, 20 Defendant. 21 22 The above-captioned matter came before the Court on March 21, 2008. The defendant 23 was represented by Allen Ruby, Esq., and others, and the government was represented by 24 Matthew Parrella, Assistant United States Attorney, and others. The matter was continued to 25 June 6, 2008, at 11:00 a.m. in this Court for status. 26 27 The Court made a finding that the time from and including March 21, 2008, through June 28 6, 2008, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0732 SI

v. Google, Inc.

Doc. 45

ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The finding was also based upon the government's representation that it intends to seek a superseding indictment prior to June 6, 2008, and its request for a sufficient amount of time to accomplish that.

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until June 6, 2008, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant. This time exclusion will also allow government counsel time to seek a superseding indictment.

DATED: April 1, 2008

/s/
ALLEN RUBY

8 Counsel for Barry L. Bonds

DATED: April 1, 2008

JEFFREY FINIGAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney

So ordered.
DATED:

SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME